XXX LEZ FEST: MILFS TEACH TEENS!

HUSTLER®

NOVEMBER 2025

HARDCORE SINCE '74

COVER HONEY
AMEENA GREEN

ACLU FOR THE DEFENSE
A POWERFUL INTERVIEW WITH FREE SPEECH CHAMPION
BEN WIZNER

THE FREEDOM TOUR
HUSTLER CELEBRATES 50 YEARS

+

SOPHIE WEBER
KEIRA CROFT
KHLOE KAPRI
HARLEY HAZE
SUMMER RENEE &
ANISSA KATE

A
NOVEMBER 2025 $14.99 US/$15.99 CAN
6 45656 96775 0
HUSTLERMAGAZINE.COM

WARNING: Material is of an adult nature. This literature is not intended for minors, and under no circumstances are they to view it, possess it or place orders for merchandise offered herein.



"You know very well 'what girl'..."

NOVEMBER 2025
Volume 52 Number 6
HUSTLERMAGAZINE.COM

HUSTLER®

CONTENTS

10 **SOPHIE WEBER**
My Pleasure
Photography by Davide Esposito

26 **KEIRA CROFT**
Hardcore Advocate
Photography by Larry Flynt Productions

38 **CRYSTAL & MAY**
Kiss & Make Up
Photography by Suze Randall

50 **AMEENA GREEN**
Good Vibes
Photography by Ellen Stagg

72 **KHLOE KAPRI**
Let's Go Crazy
Photography by Larry Flynt Productions

92 **TORI LANE**
Blow Me!
Classic Photography by Matti Klatt

22 **THE FREEDOM TOUR: HUSTLER CELEBRATES 50 YEARS!**
Join Liz Flynt as she travels the country to celebrate *HUSTLER® 50: 50 Years of Freedom*—greeting industry stars and enthusiastic fans everywhere she goes. A photo album from on the road.

64 **ACLU FOR THE DEFENSE: AN INTERVIEW WITH FREE SPEECH CHAMPION BEN WIZNER**
The ACLU has fought to protect our rights since 1920. The result is that today the organization is uniquely prepared to challenge Trump's policies. Key to this fight is free speech champion Ben Wizner. We are proud to publish this powerful interview by Ed Rampell. Read on!

82 **LESBIAN CRUSH: MILFS TEACH TEENS**
Ah, yes, the ancient art of pussylicking—passed on from one generation to the next. Here we see teens increase their oral aptitude one cunt-lapping lesson at a time. After all, practice makes for perfectly wonderful orgasms! Photography courtesy HUSTLER Video.

7 PUBLISHER'S STATEMENT
9 ASSHOLE OF THE MONTH
108 BEAVER HUNT
114 COMING SOON



50 YEARS OF HUSTLER®:

The Legacy, The Laughter, The Legends

Experience HUSTLER® like never before with **HUSTLER 50: 50 Years of Freedom**. This extraordinary tribute from Liz Flynt honors the magazine that reshaped cultural norms and made its mark on history. From groundbreaking imagery to sharp satire and unforgettable controversies, this elegant coffee table book captures the spirit of five transformative decades. With stunning photography and compelling stories, it's a must-have for anyone who values beauty, wit, and the power of rebellion.

On sale May 20th at
HUSTLERHollywood.com
or a bookseller near you

SCAN TO PREORDER

HUSTLER®

Larry Flynt *Founder and Publisher*
Liz Flynt *Chairwoman*

A.L. Dunne *Editorial Director*

ART & DESIGN
Lydia Wilde *Art Director*
Morgen "Tex" Hagen *Freelance Editorial Writer & Designer*

RECORDS & ARCHIVES
Sean Berrios *Product Licensing Manager*
Paul Berrios *Content and Compliance Coordinator/ Custodian of Records*

NETWORK SYSTEMS
Andrea Landrum *Network Systems Director*

ADVERTISING
Wendy Camacho *Advertising Production Coordinator*
FOR ADVERTISING INQUIRIES:
HustlerAdSales@LFP.com
Advertise@HustlerMagazine.com
323-951-7907

To model in HUSTLER email, Talent@LFP.com.

SUBSCRIPTIONS CUSTOMER SERVICE:
800-566-5760
HUSTLERUnlimited.com

HustlerMagazine.com

LFP PUBLISHING GROUP, LLC DOES NOT ENDORSE AND ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR ANY OF THE PRODUCTS OR CLAIMS OF SERVICE ADVERTISED IN THIS MAGAZINE.

HUSTLER (ISSN-0149-4635), Vol. 52, No. 6, November 2025. The U.S. edition of **HUSTLER** is published monthly by LFP Publishing Group, LLC, 2029 Century Park East, Suite 4200N, Los Angeles, CA 90067. Copyright © 2025 LFP Publishing Group, LLC. All rights reserved. Nothing herein may be reproduced in whole or in part without written permission of the publisher. Return postage must accompany all manuscripts, drawings, photographs, etc., if they are to be returned, and LFP Publishing Group, LLC assumes no responsibility for unsolicited material. All letters sent to **HUSTLER** will be treated as unconditionally assigned for publication and copyright purposes and as subject to **HUSTLER**'s right to edit and comment editorially. Any similarity between persons and places in fictional portions of this magazine and any real persons or places is purely coincidental. All photos posed by professional models except as otherwise noted. Neither said photos nor words used to describe them are meant to depict models' actual conduct, statements or personalities.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION: For subscription customer service, call 800-566-5760. A one-year subscription is $99.96 (12 issues). This price represents **HUSTLER**'s standard subscription rate and should not be confused with special subscription offers sometimes advertised. **No international orders accepted except Canada.** Back issues available at HustlerNewsstand.com (for USA orders only). Change of address: Allow six weeks' advance notice, and send in both your old and new addresses. ATTENTION POSTMASTER: Send change of address to: **HUSTLER**, P.O. Box 16537, North Hollywood, CA 91615-9355. Periodicals postage paid at Beverly Hills, California, and at additional mailing offices. **HUSTLER** is registered in the United States Patent and Trademark Office to LFP IP, LLC, which licenses the mark to LFP Publishing Group, LLC. **PRINTED IN CANADA**.

The publisher maintains the records relating to images in this periodical required by 18 U.S.C. §2257, which records are located at the office of the manufacturer, 2029 Century Park East, Suite 4200N, Los Angeles, CA 90067, custodian of records. All nude models are 18 years of age or older. Date of publication is September 25, 2025.

Cover photo by Ellen Stagg

HUSTLERMAGAZINE.COM
INSTAGRAM: @HustlerMag_Official
TWITTER: @HustlerMag

FREE SPEECH DOES NOT COME FREELY

Censorship is on the rise, obscenity is being redefined, and if we do not speak up—if we do not fight—we will lose our rights to free speech!

Increasingly, books are being banned from our school libraries, and in many classrooms instructors can no longer teach the facts of American history without fear of reprisal. But there is an even more insidious censorship taking hold in our country right now, and that is *self*-censorship. The revenge and retribution tactics used by this administration are by now so well-known that politicians and the media keep quiet—they self-censor—rather than incur the wrath of President Trump and what that could mean for their career or business survival.

Universities are exchanging control for federal funds that were heretofore given without strings for education and research, research that benefits all Americans. Paramount just handed Trump $16 million for his future presidential library to resolve a flimsy lawsuit so that Paramount's business merger would receive federal approval. Neither the suit nor its resolution bore any semblance of justice; it was quid pro quo, tit for tat—whatever the hell you want to call it, it was wrong.

Mike Lee has introduced a bill to replace the Supreme Court's current definition of *obscenity* that is very specific and based on "community standards," or the Miller test. Instead Lee's definition of "obscenity" would be so incredibly broad as to include content that "depicts, describes, or represents actual or simulated sexual acts with the objective intent to arouse, titillate, or gratify the sexual desires of a person"—in other words, the magazine you are holding in your hands, the R-rated movie you watched last night, or perhaps, as in World War II, books that are deemed immoral, like the works of Walt Whitman, Helen Keller and Ernest Hemingway. You see, free speech has never come freely. We must all stand tall to be heard!

For more on the national fight to protect our rights, please turn to page 64 for HUSTLER's compelling interview with Ben Wizner, the head of ACLU's Speech, Privacy, and Technology Project.

Liz Flynt
Publisher


BEWARE
OF
RIGHT-WING
LUNATIC
WITH GUN
WINNERS



EMIL BOVE

Ever since Trump stormed back into the White House last January, he's been on a mission to prove that the limitations imposed on the Presidency by our Constitution are obsolete. Damn what the Founding Fathers prescribed; almost every issue now is defined as a "national emergency" that only a dictator sending in the National Guard can solve.

In August, while answering questions about his administration's military takeover of Washington, D.C., Trump claimed, "A lot of people are saying maybe we'd like a dictator." MAGA loyalists in Congress have proposed declaring his birthday a national holiday and even carving his face onto Mt. Rushmore. And former White House chief strategist Steve Bannon told Chris Cuomo in an interview on *NewsNation* that there are "many different alternatives" to install Generalissimo Trump in office for a third term.

This is getting to be a dangerous "cult of personality," as they called it in the old Soviet Union. *All hail the Supreme Leader!* Trump's Republican Party controls both houses of Congress, a majority of state legislatures and governorships, and has a 6-3 conservative majority in the Supreme Court. So far, the only thing stopping him from actually ruling like Caesar is the integrity of federal judges around the country, including some appointed by Trump himself. They have blocked many of his most outrageous excesses: withholding federal funds duly allocated by Congress and so violating the Impoundment Control Act; trying to kill the whole Department of Education, which only Congress can do; deporting residents to hellhole foreign prisons without due process; inappropriately federalizing state National Guards for bogus "emergencies" and "foreign invasions." And the list goes on.

So how does a wannabe tyrant overcome the last bulwark of democracy, the judiciary? He starts populating it with his henchmen—judges sympathetic to him, or whom he owes something to, or who are just out-and-out corrupt. As of this writing, Trump's latest appointee was Emil Bove to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. Of course, Bove had served as Trump's *personal* attorney beginning in September 2023.

At the confirmation hearing for his Third Circuit nomination, Bove denied that he would be Trump's loyal lackey: "I am not anybody's henchman. I am not an enforcer," he said. "I'm a lawyer from a small town who never expected to be in an arena like this." He did grow up in Seneca Falls, New York, population 9,000 today, but he wasn't exactly Opie Taylor in Mayberry. His father was assistant New York attorney general, and Emil went on to graduate from the prestigious and expensive Georgetown Law School. After stints with several elite private law firms, Bove served as a federal prosecutor with the Southern District of New York (SDNY) from 2012 to 2021. According to *Politico*, his tenure there was rocky; he had such an "abusive" management style that an internal inquiry recommended that he be demoted, but the office never followed through.

After the SDNY gig, Bove kept very busy as Trump's consigliere, struggling to defend him against an onslaught of criminal charges: *The People of the State of New York* v. *Trump*, where Trump was convicted of 34 felony counts of falsifying business records; the classified documents case, where Trump stubbornly refused to return federal documents he had purloined and kept in his Mar-a-Lago residence; and the election interference case related to his involvement during the January 6th attack on the U.S. Capitol. Trump as President-elect wasted no time in appointing Bove as principal associate deputy attorney general on November 14, 2024. In June, Trump nominated Bove to fill a vacancy, as a lifetime appointment, to the Third Circuit. Bove was confirmed by Senate Republicans in July, and is now in a position to possibly hear appeals and make judgments on cases involving Trump. How do you think he would rule?

The confirmation of Bove was met with furious opposition. Over 900 former Department of Justice attorneys signed a letter opposing Bove: "We are all alarmed by DOJ leadership's recent deviations from constitutional principles and institutional guardrails.... It is intolerable to us that anyone who disgraces the Justice Department would be promoted to one of the highest courts in the land." That army of opponents had good reasons: According to a whistleblower complaint by former DOJ attorney Erez Reuveni, Bove suggested defying federal courts. During a meeting last March, shortly before Trump decided to invoke the Alien Enemies Act (AEA) as part of his mass deportation efforts, the complaint alleges Bove anticipated a court order could be issued to stop these flights removing individuals subject to the AEA. According to Reuveni, "Bove then made a remark concerning the possibility that a court order would enjoin those removals before they could be effectuated. Bove stated that DOJ would need to consider telling the courts 'fuck you' and ignore any such court order."

Bove also refused to tell questioning Senators that he would respect the 22nd Amendment to the Constitution limiting POTUS to two terms. He ducked and covered with a lot of wishy-washy nonsense about that "abstract hypothetical scenario."

To help clean up Dear Leader's shady history, one of the Ghoul's first acts as principal deputy attorney general was to have the FBI compile a list of prosecutors involved in criminal proceedings in January 6th. He then ordered the firing of over a dozen prosecutors in the D.C. U.S. attorney's office who investigated the attack.

After the Senate confirmed Bove, Senator Chuck Schumer responded, "It's unfathomable that just over four years after the insurrection at the Capitol—when rioters smashed windows, ransacked offices, desecrated this chamber—Senate Republicans are willingly putting someone on the bench who shielded these rioters from facing justice, who said their prosecution was a grave national injustice."

Further, Bove ordered SDNY prosecutors to drop a bribery case against New York City mayor Eric Adams, prompting several of those attorneys to quit in protest. In her resignation letter, Manhattan's top federal prosecutor Danielle Sassoon alleged that Adams' lawyers had proposed a quid pro quo where Adams would enforce the Trump Administration's draconian immigration policies in exchange for the case against him being dropped. Bove denied the allegations in court, but when Judge Dale Ho dismissed the case, he stated the situation "smacks of a bargain."

It's hands-off for the well connected, but the ultimate punishment for lesser folk: Bove sent out an internal DOJ memo seeking to revive the federal death penalty, and "directed…local prosecutors" to pursue capital punishment under state law for the 37 federal inmates whose death sentences were commuted by President Joe Biden.

Some assholes in this world are deceptive—a charming, handsome face can hide a monstrous soul. But not Emil Bove; with him, what you see is what you get. If Hollywood were casting the lead for a new *Dracula* movie, Bove would fit the role perfectly. And it would probably take a wooden stake through the heart to kill this political vampire—assuming he has a heart. H

PHOTO BY ZUMA PRESS, INC./ALAMY

SOPHIE WEBER

MY PLEASURE

PHOTOGRAPHY BY
DAVIDE ESPOSITO



You'd probably never guess it from seeing me now—I can hardly believe it myself—but I used to be a total wallflower. If I liked a guy or a girl, I couldn't even look them in the eye, even if my pussy was dripping wet from thinking about getting with them, and I'd stammer if I had to talk. Now, if I see someone or something I want, I don't hesitate—I speak right up and take it, and I couldn't be happier!

"A lot of that is because I've learned to get in touch with myself—quite literally. Before, I hardly ever masturbated, and now I can't go a day without giving myself an orgasm. Allowing myself to experience sexual pleasure without inhibition in a solo setting really opened me up. I realized that it would be crazy to deny myself, and that I had been missing out on a whole world of erotic experience. Believe me, I'll never let that happen again!

"Of course, being in the adult industry means I get to have loads of sex with plenty of people, and I get to share that joy with my fans on top of it! I hope that seeing me open up on-screen helps the viewers get in touch with themselves too. ;)"

SOPHIE'S VITAL FACTS

HOMETOWN: **Moscow, Russia** | AGE: **27**

HEIGHT: **5-1** | MEASUREMENTS: **32B-26-34**

FAVORITE POSITION: **On top**

THE FREEDOM TOUR
HUSTLER CELEBRATES 50 YEARS

Following the release of *HUSTLER® 50: 50 Years of Freedom* in May, Liz Flynt toured the country, greeting fans and adult-industry stars, answering questions and autographing the gorgeous coffee table book. A true collector's item, it boasts iconic photos and articles that span five decades of America's Magazine. "It was heartwarming to see how enthusiastic people are about HUSTLER," Liz says, "and how much they loved Larry Flynt. And it was so much fun!"

Check out the pics, and if you haven't already, score your own copy at HUSTLERHollywood.com, Amazon or wherever fine books are sold. And look for Liz Flynt at a city near you, because The Freedom Tour is continuing this fall!

JOSEPH-BETH BOOKSELLERS
LEXINGTON

BOOKS & BOOKS
CORAL GABLES

TERA PATRICK, NATALIA STARR & LISA ANN
HUSTLER CLUB NYC

KARLIE MONTANA
"SNOW PINK"
NOVEMBER '11



HUSTLER HOLLYWOOD FT. LAUDERDALE

FMG MANAGEMENT TEAM
HUSTLER CLUB LAS VEGAS

LIZ & KATHY GRIFFIN
BOOK SOUP, LOS ANGELES

KATIE MORGAN, LIZ & REBEL LYNN
HUSTLER CLUB LAS VEGAS

BOOKS & BOOKS
CORAL GABLES

JOSEPH-BETH BOOKSELLERS, CINCINNATI

BARNES & NOBLE
SAN ANTONIO

BARNES & NOBLE
SAN ANTONIO

LIZ & LARRY FLYNT JR.
JOSEPH-BETH BOOKSELLERS, LEXINGTON

JOSEPH-BETH BOOKSELLERS
LEXINGTON

PAUL CAMBRIA, LIZ &
KATHY GRIFFIN
BOOK SOUP, LOS ANGELES

KEIRA CROFT

HARDCORE ADVOCATE

PHOTOGRAPHY BY
LARRY FLYNT PRODUCTIONS

MagDownload.org

I grew up in a prudish household. My family felt that sex was a taboo topic that should never be discussed. Naturally, they weren't thrilled when they found out I had joined the adult industry, but they've come around a little bit. They realize that I'm happy in my porn career and that I'm doing it because I want to.

"Before getting into porn, I spent a year as an intelligence analyst in the Army. It wasn't all rules and regulations—I enjoyed my share of cock while in the service! One of the guys was a commanding officer, so that probably wasn't the best idea, but oh, well!

"I really love the freedom that the adult industry offers, but my ultimate goal is to serve as an advocate for the industry. There are a number of causes I hold dear to my heart—if I can use my position to help destigmatize sex work and porn, providing education and doing my part to improve performers' lives, I would be very proud of that achievement."

CRYSTAL & MAY

KISS AND MAKEUP

PHOTOGRAPHY BY
SUZE RANDALL

I've had a crush on May since forever, since her extra days, before she could land any part, let alone the lead. Making up another woman is so intimate—the way her breasts brush against you as you move from side to side. We'd flirted with each other before, but something was different today. The air was electric. Maybe it was the passionate role I was making her up for—or maybe she was just plain horny. Whatever it was, I had barely finished her blush before she was pushing her face into my cunny and tonguing me like there was no tomorrow. About an hour later, we were sweaty and sated, and I started doing her make-up all over again. Whatever this thing is, God, I hope we can continue tomorrow…"

AMEENA GREEN

GOOD VIBES

PHOTOGRAPHY BY
ELLEN STAGG

In college, I definitely went through a slutty phase. It was so much fun, and I did a lot of wild things. Once I even had sex with three guys during the same day. I think that's a personal record—so far, anyway. I fantasized about fucking my professors, but sadly, I lacked the nerve to approach them. These days, if I see an older guy who I want to get with, I go for it without hesitation!

"I play with myself quite a bit, but probably not as much as when I was younger. I used to miss appointments, I would be so busy getting myself off! These days, I'm more responsible—if I'm feeling horny but I know I have a meeting coming up, I'll set an alarm so I don't get too lost in the moment.

"I don't really have a particular type of guy. It's more about getting a good vibe from a person. And I get a lot of good vibes from my fans—they're truly the best. I love it when they send me pictures and videos, showing me how much they're enjoying my work. Who knows? Maybe I'll set up an intimate encounter with one of them someday."

MagDownload.org

AMEENA'S VITAL FACTS

HOMETOWN: **Chicago, Illinois**
AGE: **27** HEIGHT: **5-4**
MEASUREMENTS: **32C-24-36**
FAVORITE POSITION: **Reverse cowgirl**
X: **@pussycopia**
INSTAGRAM: **@theameenagreen**

ACLU FOR THE DEFENSE
AN INTERVIEW WITH FREE SPEECH CHAMPION BEN WIZNER

BY ED RAMPELL

NOTE: THIS INTERVIEW TOOK PLACE IN LATE JUNE 2025, AT THE HEIGHT OF THE NATIONAL GUARD AND MARINES DEPLOYMENT IN LOS ANGELES.

PHOTO COURTESY ACLU

Founded 105 years ago to oppose America's first Red Scare, the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) has fought to protect our constitutional rights every step of the way since. In 1920, ACLU challenged the Palmer Raids, during which thousands of people were arrested and detained without warrants. These courtroom gladiators then fought for the First Amendment at 1925's Scopes "Monkey" Trial, when Tennessee banned teaching evolution and, in 1942, opposed the forced internment of 110,000 people of Japanese ancestry, including U.S. citizens, in prison camps. They were on the frontlines of 1954's *Brown* v. *Board of Education* struggle to desegregate schools and, during the Vietnam War, protected antiwar students' rights to free speech. They played an outsize role in 1973's *Roe* v. *Wade* victory for reproductive rights, and their list of battles and accomplishments goes on and on.

The result is that today the ACLU is uniquely prepared to challenge the Trump Administration's dictatorial policies. Key to this fight is free speech champion Ben Wizner, who has served as the director of ACLU's Speech, Privacy, and Technology Project since 2011 and is one of the organization's point persons in the crusade to protect our constitutional rights.

This intrepid attorney has impressive legal credentials. During the so-called "War on Terror," Wizner's high-profile clients included Khalid El-Masri, the German citizen who the Central Intelligence Agency flew to a black site in Afghanistan in 2003, where he was tortured for months, before the CIA admitted El-Masri was mistakenly arrested and released him. Wizner has boldly made repeat trips to Gitmo, the Guantanamo Bay detention center in Cuba, to defend terrorist suspects. And since 2013, he has been the principal legal advisor to Edward Snowden, the NSA whistleblower who exposed top-secret warrantless mass surveillance of American citizens.

In this wide-ranging, candid conversation, Ben Wizner discusses Trump's war on free expression, from academia to dissident foreign-born students to news media to law firms; the deportation campaign; Trump's deployment of the military; Kilmar Abrego Garcia; Project 2025; his most celebrated client, Edward Snowden; and so much more.

HUSTLER: Where are you today?

BEN WIZNER: I live in Brooklyn, but right now I'm [visiting] Albuquerque, New Mexico. I started my ACLU career at the ACLU of Southern California in L.A. and actually got to know Larry Flynt. Because he was a strong supporter of the ACLU. He came to our fundraising dinners. And—this was particularly fun—I got to go to the Friars Club Roast of Larry Flynt in 2003 [*laughs*], and that was really wild.

Larry actually used to come every year to ACLU's Bill of Rights dinner. He was crotchety and blunt and irritable, smart, funny. If you read the transcripts of the times that he was in court, you know, telling the judges to "Fuck off," and being held in contempt, and then saying, "No. Fuck you," and again being held in contempt. That's what he was like. Larry wasn't warm and fuzzy.

Since 2011, you've been the director of the ACLU's Speech, Privacy, and Technology Project. What is that?

The ACLU is federated. We have a national office headquartered in New York and Washington, and then we have 53 state affiliates throughout the country that are quasi-independent. At the national office itself, in our legal department, we divide up our lawyers into issue areas. So we have a team of lawyers that do LGBTQ rights and a team of lawyers that do national security and a team of lawyers that do immigration. The Speech, Privacy, and Technology Project is our national free speech project—but also our national project that looks at the intersection of civil liberties and new technologies.

So what effect will advances in science and technology have on our civil rights and our liberties? Will it be inevitably dystopian, where we're going to be a Chinese-style surveillance state? Or is it possible actually that technology can be harnessed in support of human rights and civil liberties, as opposed to against them? Of course, a prime example of that is the internet, which, say what you will about it, is the most remarkable engine for free expression that's ever been created in the world. It has given private citizens a voice and the opportunity to have a platform that didn't exist before its creation.

You were an undergraduate at Harvard before going on to NYU Law School. So what do you think of the Trump Administration's attacks on Harvard and other universities?

We need to think about the attack on universities in the context of the broader attack on free speech and dissent. I don't think that it's an exaggeration to say that this is a new McCarthyism—in some ways, maybe even more pernicious. What you're seeing is a systematic effort to target, defund, retaliate against any center of society that may be able to resist the Trump Administration's power. On the one hand, you have universities, which are engines of free thought and dissent. On the other hand, you have the legal profession, which is being targeted with executive orders aimed at particular law firms that have dared to challenge Trump Administration orthodoxies.

We're seeing attacks on the arts, where the National Endowment for the Arts is being told, "You can only fund people who denounce so-called gender ideology." We're seeing attacks on independent research, and research scientists are being censored if they use words like *LGBT* or *transgender*. All of this is of a piece. Then of course we're seeing brutal crackdowns on actual dissidents, where students who have expressed support for human rights in Gaza are being kidnapped off the street, flown to detention centers in Louisiana, with an attempt to deport them because of their speech.

So I regard the attack on Harvard as of a piece with that. I think what Trump hates more than anything else is a part of society that he can't control: Harvard's independence. You see, the fact that Harvard did not capitulate the way other entities did—the way some law firms did, the way Columbia did at first—enraged him further. And so they're now throwing even more things. But the idea that the punishment for not conforming ideologically is that they're going to cut funding for cancer research just shows how blunt and kind of brutal this attempt to bring all of the institutions of society under Trump's control has been. >>

Let's discuss Mahmoud Khalil, Rümeysa Öztürk, Mohsen Mahdawi and other scholars, whom the federal government seeks to deport, despite the fact that they haven't been convicted of or even charged with any specific crimes. They are here lawfully, legally, but are being persecuted because of the exercise of their First Amendment rights. Just like during the Hollywood Blacklist, when those movie talents were put on "trial" by the House Un-American Activities Committee. Prior to those congressional hearings, none of them were ever convicted of any crimes—they just used their First Amendment rights.

Yeah. We can go back even further to the Palmer Raids, which really inspired the creation of the ACLU more than 100 years ago. You had Attorney General Mitchell Palmer rounding up noncitizens who were engaged in antiwar activism [against World War I] or any other kind of dissident activity. I didn't think we would see this in my lifetime—I didn't think we would see the kind of scenes that we've witnessed. Of course, now they're captured on video, either on people's iPhones or on lobby security cameras.

We're actually seeing people who are in this country lawfully—in some cases with green cards, having been vetted, having been told they can stay here permanently—and they're being shoved into unmarked government cars and then flown around, basically so that they can be kept away from their families and lawyers. Luckily, with the ACLU, that's not a strategy that works, because everywhere that plane lands, there's a team of ACLU lawyers ready to take up the case. They can't escape us in the way that they might be able to in other cases.

But again, the idea that someone [Öztürk] is sitting in a jail cell in Louisiana because she wrote an opinion piece in a student newspaper, and that's the only evidence the government has to support their attempt to deport her from this country, is not something I expected to see. Luckily, the courts have, for the most part, rejected these attempts.

All four of the people who ACLU is representing in these circumstances are now finally released [as of this interview]. They are: Rümeysa Öztürk [a Turkey-born Tufts University Ph.D. student], Mahmoud Khalil [a Syria-born Palestinian and lead negotiator at Columbia University during Gaza War protests], Mohsen Mahdawi [a Palestinian from a West Bank refugee camp who also participated in Columbia's antiwar protests] and Dr. Badar Khan Suri [an India-born postdoctoral

SAN FRANCISCO, CA, JUNE 14, 2025. THOUSANDS OF PROTESTERS MARCH DOWN MARKET STREET DURING THE ANTI-TRUMP NO KINGS PROTEST. PHOTO BY SHELLY RIVOLI/ALAMY.

fellow and professor at Georgetown University whose wife's father was an advisor to a now dead Hamas leader]. All of them have been ordered released on bail. And in all of those cases, federal judges were astounded that the government thought that these people should be detained simply for their speech, no convictions for crimes, no charges for crimes. The assertion is that the Secretary of State can determine that a noncitizen's speech somehow interferes with U.S. foreign policy. If that's the case, there are 12 million lawful permanent residents in this country. Are they allowed to criticize President Trump? Are they allowed to have an opinion about our bombing of Iran?

Well, are they? They're not citizens. Is the First Amendment right guaranteed to noncitizens?

It is. The First Amendment in the Constitution in this context doesn't distinguish between citizens and persons. But I'd be lying if I said that this law is incredibly clearly established. And the reason why it's not so clearly established is that we haven't seen efforts like we're seeing here. I'd say that people who have green cards have more rooted First Amendment claims than maybe someone who is here on a student visa.

But our position is that the First Amendment isn't about what rights it gives to people. It's about what constraints it puts on the government. "Congress shall make no law"—which has been interpreted to apply to government agents. The First Amendment doesn't say, "You can speak." It says the government can't punish you for speaking. And the *you* doesn't have to be a U.S. citizen—that's clear.

One of the things that has been so frightening about some of the theories they're using is, the Trump Administration has taken the position that they could stick you in a plane and drop you in a prison in El Salvador.

Is that constitutional?

Certainly it's not constitutional if you don't have an opportunity to go to court to challenge it. But they're taking the position that a court is then powerless to do anything because you're in the custody of another sovereign. And a court can't order El Salvador what to do. Well, that wouldn't be any different if it's a U.S. citizen who they deported to El Salvador. It doesn't have to be a noncitizen.

This is why you're seeing even very right-wing judges—Judge [J. >>

Harvie] Wilkinson [III] on the Fourth Circuit in Richmond, Virginia, a judge who's yelled at me in the past—writing these anguished, heartfelt opinions, saying, "Are you sure you want to defy court orders? You're not just weakening the judiciary; you're weakening the presidency when you do this. You're making arguments that would not be any different than if the President picked up a political opponent on the street, a U.S. citizen, and flew him to El Salvador. Then they would take the position, 'Well, he's not in our custody. Too late. There's nothing we can do.'"

What we've seen already in the first few months of this administration is not just actions, but arguments in court that are so extreme that the Trump Administration is losing the presumption of good faith that courts would ordinarily afford to a President. And even conservative judges now are so offended by the conduct of the administration. Again, I don't just mean the conduct outside of court; I mean the conduct inside of court. The just brazen defiance of norms and orders. At the end of the day, I don't think the Trump Administration is going to take on the courts and win. I think it's either going to back down or it's going to lose in that fight.

In terms of these mass roundups, Trump even used the term *homegrown*.

Trump has at least threatened, yes, that these powers could be turned against citizens, not just against noncitizens.

Let's talk about ICE and immigration protests. Is it constitutional to call out hundreds of U.S. Marines and to federalize California's National Guard?

I have to be really candid and say there's very little precedent governing these questions. We've already seen two sets of judges disagree on whether the National Guard callout in California was legal. A federal judge in San Francisco said he thought it was illegal, and those Guard troops had to be reporting to the governor, and not to the President. And an appellate court has vacated that injunction on the grounds that they thought it didn't give the President enough deference in that situation.

These are pretty unsettled questions because it only happens every 30, 40, 80 years that a President would say the situation in our country requires something beyond civilian law enforcement. Now, of course, the idea that in 2025 what's going on in America cannot be managed by police is beyond ludicrous…

Actually, this links what's going on in California to what's going on

LOS ANGELES, CA, JUNE 10, 2025. U.S. MARINES WITH 2ND BATTALION, 7TH MARINE REGIMENT, 1ST MARINE DIVISION ARE BRIEFED AFTER ARRIVING ON ORDERS FROM PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP IN RESPONSE TO IMMIGRATION PROTESTS. PHOTO BY U.S. MARINE CORPS/ALAMY.

around the country, where the administration claims that we've been "invaded" by gangs. And it invoked an 18th-century law called the Alien Enemies Act against a gang I had never heard of before this, Tren de Aragua, from Venezuela. And the argument is that we are in an armed conflict against that gang, supported by the Venezuelan government.

And that means the President, instead of having to individually deport people in this country, can instead round them up, put them on buses, put them onto military planes, and fly them into another country without any judicial hearing of any kind. So this is the reason why we're hearing claims like we're being invaded. It's because they want to deport a million people a year. Due process is inefficient. Rule of law takes time, takes resources. So they're looking for a way to get around it. So far, the courts have rejected that. All nine justices of the Supreme Court said, "No, you can't do that. You can't put someone on a plane and fly them to another country without their having had a chance to say both 'We're not a member of that gang' and that we're not at war with that gang."

We've been winning that legal fight. But still, in California, the idea is, this invasion requires us to call out military assets. Now, what Trump is really trying to do is to escalate civil conflict, not deescalate civil conflict. Because escalating the civil conflict, the hope is that if you send the National Guard and military out there, that we can see something that's closer to a repeat of the protests in the summer of 2020 [sparked by the police killing of George Floyd], and that will justify sending more troops into the streets, and Trump thinks this is a political battle he can win—if it's between protesters throwing bottles and our military.

The other thing about Trump is, he hates the fact the governor of California controls California law enforcement and the California National Guard. And the fact that he's not king of the whole country, but that actually there are other people who have power in their various places. By federalizing California's National Guard, he can say, "Now I'm in control of what's happening in California's streets—not the mayor of Los Angeles, not the governor of California."

I don't know how the courts are going to resolve this one, just because, again, we don't have a lot of precedent here. What I can say is that I think that at the end of the day, this is much more a fight over public opinion than it is a legal fight. Will Gavin Newsom's arguments—which seem quite obviously correct to me, that there is no need whatsoever to have military in the streets to deal with protests—prevail? Or will the people see through this as an attempt by Trump to provoke? I don't think we can count on the courts to resolve every one of these disputes.

Regarding the courts, in June, Emil Bove was before the Senate Judiciary Committee, seeking confirmation to the Third Circuit of Appeals in Philadelphia. [Bove, a criminal defense lawyer for Trump in 2023, was appointed acting deputy attorney general in January 2025.] A whistleblower came forward and claimed that at Department of Justice meetings, when asked by staff attorneys what to do if the courts try to restrain their action, Bove purportedly replied, "Tell the courts, 'Fuck you!'" In other words, don't obey the courts; overrule them. What happens if that stance is taken by the Trump regime?

Well, it was taken. And I don't want to say too much about this because we're still litigating this issue. This was in the context of the Alien Enemies Act, and what Bove reportedly said was, "We're going to be putting Venezuelans onto this plane. It's possible the courts will try to interfere. And if they do, we have to prepare for the possibility we might disregard them." A few days later, that's exactly what happened. We know this, because the ACLU went into court, we said that we had information that planes were taking off. The judge stopped the hearing and told the government if planes were in the air—he ordered that if there were planes in the air, that they turn them around and come back.

And the government stonewalled, and in fact, those planes landed in El Salvador, and the men on them were put into a Salvadoran prison, where they remain to this day. We've been litigating the aftermath of that episode since then, and there's still an effort to hold government actors in contempt for defiance of that court order. There's a more substantial effort to get due process for the men now detained in El Salvador and brought back to the U.S.

Tell us about Kilmar Abrego Garcia?

We don't represent him. This is the most notorious case of disregard of a court order until recently—where the government acknowledged he had been sent to El Salvador in error. They then fired the lawyer who acknowledged in court that he'd been removed in error—[Erez Reuveni] became the whistleblower against Bove—and they took the position for weeks that Abrego Garcia would not be returned to the U.S.

The government reversed course, brought him back and charged him with crimes in Tennessee…for allegedly trafficking aliens. The day those charges were filed, the head of the criminal division of the prosecutor's office there quit—telling you how strong a case that is. Recently, a judge just ordered Mr. Abrego Garcia released on bail and wrote a 50-page opinion about how weak the allegations are and how they are based on hearsay and double hearsay.

But I will say, bringing criminal charges in a court, where he has a lawyer and where the government has a burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt, is the right way to proceed in these cases. Dropping people in foreign prisons and saying they are outside our jurisdiction is the wrong way. If the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Abrego Garcia committed the offenses in the indictments, then he'll be convicted. That is due process; that is the rule of law. If they're not able to prove that, as I suspect they won't be able to prove that, he'll be acquitted. He's represented by excellent lawyers in that case.

I think the government was looking for a face-saving way to end its showdown with the courts and the Supreme Court on this, and they thought, "Hahaha! We'll show them. We'll charge him with a crime." Whereas all along, the legal and civil rights community was simply saying, "Give him process." This is a kind of due process. Now the government has to back up what it put into its indictment.

Are noncitizens legally entitled to the right of due process in the U.S.?

Absolutely. Without any question. Particularly if they're charged with crimes. If the government is charging him with federal crimes and trying to lock him up, he has every single legal and constitutional right that a citizen has to defend himself. >>

Is wrongfully being in the U.S., that is, being undocumented, a crime or a misdemeanor?
Generally, it's not a crime at all. Generally, it's a civil immigration offense…for the most part, while you could say entering the country without authorization is illegal, in the sense that it could give rise to an actual prosecution.

Discuss Project 2025 and civil liberties.
We all knew a second Trump term was not going to be the same as the first. No one was more surprised than Trump that he was elected in 2016. He was manifestly unprepared for the presidency. There was no real plan other than his own aggrandizement. And he spent most of his administration facing investigations into his own misconduct. It was pretty clear that if Trump was given a second bite at this apple, the administration was going to be much, much more focused and organized. Project 2025 is an outside effort led by the Heritage Foundation, but it included people who had served in the Trump Administration and people who are now in this Trump Administration.

The whole idea was to give a blueprint for how to reshape not just the American government, but American society. For example, how could the President bring the sprawling federal workforce under his ideological heel? There was a perception in the first Trump Administration that there were people in government who were working against his agenda. Of course, career civil servants don't take an oath to the President; they take an oath to the Constitution. And it may be the case that, in order to exercise their oath to the Constitution—say, they work in the Department of Justice—they take positions that are averse to the President.

The idea [of Project 2025] was to make sure that never happened again and to try to staff the administration from top to bottom with loyalists, push out everyone who is not a loyalist, and crush whole federal agencies that right-wing ideologues like in the Heritage Foundation thought might be averse to Trump's agenda. We were prepared for this to be different; I don't think any of us were quite prepared for how different it's been.

I don't think we envisioned Elon Musk with a band of marauding tech bros going across the federal government, committing sheer vandalism, just for the fun of it, just for the sport of it. Destroying USAID, destroying the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. Just demolishing—not even just trying to change the ideological makeup of the federal government, but really demolishing its ability to do its work on so many issues. The idea was this time they're going to move fast and break things. They may realize they only have a two-year window before Congress is divided and before one house of the Congress doesn't belong to the Republicans. That's why we're seeing the scale and speed of the destruction—senior people in Trumpworld think they may only have a clear runway for two years before they start facing congressional obstruction and investigations.

What's your view on the bill introduced by Senator Mike Lee to redefine *obscenity*?
You can't redefine *obscenity* by statute because the Supreme Court has told us under the First Amendment what constitutes obscenity. We've seen dozens of efforts over the years for Congress to try and rewrite these laws. In the 1990s we saw the Communications Decency Act that purported to say anything on the internet that didn't satisfy the same decency standard that applies to radio would be a crime, and the Supreme Court struck that down nine to zero. We saw the Child Online Protection Act that essentially would have turned the internet into a sandbox for kids. The Supreme Court has, over the decades, been very protective of adults' rights to view sexually explicit content and has done that against just constant legislative attempts to redraw those boundaries.

I do think we are seeing a new round of those—it's always in the name of "protecting children." There's a case pending before the Supreme Court that might be decided before this article comes out, the *Free Speech Coalition* v. *Paxton*. Texas essentially passed a law that said websites that have more than of a certain percentage of sexual content on their website have to impose age-verification screens for people to be able to get that content. I think it's in clear defiance of the Supreme Court's decision in a case called *ACLU* v. *Gonzalez*, which struck down those. It said if parents want to protect their kids from that content, they should install filters on their computers, rather than denying everybody that content.

According to Trump's Big Beautiful Bill, as passed by the House, states cannot regulate Artificial Intelligence for ten years? [Editor's note: The Senate later blocked this proposal, voting to remove the proposed ban.]
States can't regulate AI, but it doesn't mean the federal government can't regulate it. The idea is to preempt states that might want to put in progressive protections, civil rights protections. The tech industry likes bills like this. They'd rather deal with one set of regulators in Congress than 50 sets of regulators in states. They call it "preemption."

We're strongly against that; we think you need innovation in regulation, just as you need innovation in creation. Silicon Valley has hoodwinked legislators for too long by saying: "If you regulate us right now, you'll kill our industry, and you'll let China win." And then, once the industry is established, they say: "Oh, no, no, no, it's too late to regulate us, because you'll break the internet." So it's always either too early to regulate, or it's too late to regulate if you're a tech company.

What we need to see is that the states have the freedom to do their own innovation and make sure that advances in machine learning don't obliterate our rights and liberties. We're already seeing how that's possible. If you use an AI system to decide who you're going to hire—well, hiring is regulated by civil rights laws. You can't discriminate on the basis of race in your hiring. But what if you're using an AI system that is a black box, and you don't actually know what kind of calculation it's using to decide what candidates it favors? It just might be replicating the last 50 years of hiring information, which would favor some groups over others. It's perfectly appropriate for states to legislate in that area and say, "If you're going to use automated systems in areas where civil rights laws govern, you have to make them transparent so you can provide due process and comply with our laws."

What the industry wants to do now is say, "China! China! China! If you regulate us at all, we're going to lose." And we might wake up in a world that looks very different from the one we're in right now.

Regarding viewpoint discrimination, Trump is taking or threatening legal actions against ABC, CBS and *60 Minutes*, *The Des Moines Register* and even Bob Woodward. What is ACLU's posi-

tion on that?
The problem is, these are frivolous lawsuits. If the targets of these lawsuits defended them, the lawsuits would be dismissed. But the purpose of suing ABC, the purpose of suing CBS and Paramount is not to win the lawsuit. It is to create a mechanism to bring those institutions to heel. CBS is owned by Paramount; Paramount wants to do mergers. Those mergers have to be approved by the federal government.... So he's using this litigation not to win, but to bring big media organizations under his thumb.

We've already seen settlements that involved big payments to his presidential library from ABC, from META, Facebook. That is a massive threat to the public's right to know. It's downstream from the danger of having the media so controlled by corporations that are so vulnerable to Presidents. It speaks of the need for more independent media, because one thing you could say about *The Nation*, it may not have the power of CBS or Paramount, but it's not trying to merge with another corporation. It's not going to be subject to the same kinds of regulatory threats that the corporate media seems to be.

As a New Yorker, what do you think about the primary victory of Democratic Socialist and Muslim Zohran Mamdani?
I'm speaking now as an employee of a nonpartisan organization. But taking that hat off for a second, it's remarkable to see what happens when someone speaks plainly to what people need and want.

How is your client Edward Snowden doing nowadays?
He's having the quiet life in some sense that he always wanted to have, out of the spotlight. He's an indoor cat who never wanted to be a global icon. I hope we'll be able to get him out of his current circumstances and bring him home with dignity. Because every year that goes by, his legacy is threatened. The validity of the choices that he made is strengthened by what we see happening in the world. H

Support ACLU at ***action.aclu.org/give/now****.*

HARRISBURG, PA, AUGUST 2, 2025. DEMONSTRATORS HOLD PLACARDS AT THE ANTI-TRUMP "RAGE AGAINST THE REGIME" PROTEST AT THE PENNSYLVANIA CAPITOL IN HARRISBURG, PA. PHOTO BY SIPA USA/ALAMY.

KHLOE KAPRI

LET'S GO CRAZY

PHOTOGRAPHY BY
LARRY FLYNT PRODUCTIONS

When I was younger, I was wild, but also responsible—sure, I might have smoked my share of weed and sucked my share of dick, but I always made sure to get good grades. I grew up in a strict household, and my parents didn't approve of me dating, so I'd have to meet up with boys on the sly. As a result, I developed a strong adventurous streak. The wildest thing I've ever done was probably when I gave a guy a blowjob in a church. Not right in the room where the mass takes place—more, like, the back area of the building—but I still felt pretty brave!

"Even now, I still like to get crazy, and porn is the perfect profession for that. I get to expand by boundaries in a secure and supportive setting. I love to film scenes where I get to stretch and see what I'm capable of. It could be a challenging role or an intense sexual situation—if I'm spreading my wings on set, I'm a happy gal. I hope my fans are happy watching me spread too!"

MagDownload.org

LESBIAN CRUSH: MILFS TEACH TEENS

PHOTOGRAPHY COURTESY
HUSTLER VIDEO

HARLEY HAZE & BEVERLY HILLSON

KRYSTAL SPARKS & SELINA IMAI

ANISSA KATE & SUMMER RENEE

Ah, yes, the ancient art of pussylicking—passed on from one generation to the next. Here we see teens increase their oral aptitude one cunt-lapping lesson at a time. Experienced MILFs are happy to oblige young nymphs with in-depth twat tutorials. After all, practice makes for perfectly wonderful orgasms. Watch *Lesbian Crush: MILFs Teach Teens* on HUSTLERUnlimited.com today!

MILLIE MORGAN & DEMI HAWKS

TORY LANE

BLOW ME!

HUSTLER CLASSIC JANUARY 2006

PHOTOGRAPHY BY MATTI KLATT

Tory Lane has always been mesmerizing on-screen. Hot. Nasty. Absolutely sensational. She could take a huge dick in her pussy, ass or down her throat without blinking an eye—no fucking problem. She is and always has been a HUSTLER favorite. Hell, she was even inducted into the AVN Hall of Fame! So let's revisit **Tory** in her HUSTLER undies and watch her slowly slide them off… *Yum!*

HUSTLER
HUSTLER

WELCOME TO VOYEURS' FAVE AMATEUR SHOWCASE SINCE 1976!

BEAVER HUNT

EDITED BY MORGEN "TEX" HAGEN

LINA MARIE

"I've been modeling for a year, and it's been a very exciting and fun-filled confidence booster," declares Lina Marie, 19, a receptionist from Reno, Nevada. "It helps me see myself in various lights and learn just how beautiful I really am, inside and out." The 5-foot-5 Silver Stater continues, "I'm passionate, loving, stubborn and strong. My favorite pastimes are cooking, walking my animals and being held tight while watching a good movie." Lina Marie turns up the heat with these lascivious revelations: "I'm a bisexual switch who loves being treated like a princess. However, you'll never see me more excited than when the ropes come out. I really enjoy being blindfolded and tied up. Grab my neck, and you'll have my full attention." Lina Marie doesn't just restrict herself to getting it on in the boudoir. "I've had sex in all sorts of places," she tells us, "but there's nothing more thrilling than being kicked out of a parking lot for a shaking car."

—Photos by EyeKandy Digital Media

"My sexual fantasies are smoking a jay while riding reverse cowgirl and being fucked around with and stripped while cooking for my love."
HALUHA MATATA
PATRIOTISM = FREE SPEECH
50 UNIQUE CHRISTMAS GIFT HEADBONES
Patreon.com/LinaMarie145
Kavyar.com/Lina-Marie

Birthday Delights

Thanksgiving is coming soon, and as an appetizer, feast your eyes on five delectable Beavers celebrating birthdays in November. “There is no taming this wild child,” avowed The Naughty Vegan, an exotic dancer from Atwater, California. “I’m a crazy, fun, freaky, adventurous, seductive, goal-oriented and comedic Scorpio. Above all else, I’m a hustler! I hustle in everything I do. I’ve even masturbated while driving and while lying in a tanning bed. One time I masturbated with a banana and then ate it. I eat pineapples too. That’s why my cute pussy is so sweet!” Kat Kerby is a college student, “huge exhibitionist” and longtime HUSTLER fan from Detroit, Michigan. She told us,“Whenever I flipped through the pages and gazed in awe at the gorgeous models, I’d say to myself, ‘I’m going to be like them one day.’ Finally, my dream has come true.” Gigi Gates—a “talkative and witty” bartender from Atlantic City, New Jersey—remarked, “I wished to appear in HUSTLER because I’ve always admired the women who pose for it. Being a nude model isn’t a fantasy anymore.” Zoe Clark—an aspiring skin-bizzer from Kansas City, Missouri—said, “Being in HUSTLER is one of my biggest accomplishments. I’m funny, outgoing, mostly submissive and definitely bi because I like juicy pussies almost as much as I like big, juicy cocks. My favorite sexual activities are giving and receiving some sloppy, sloppy head. I’d have to say that my best talent is eating the dick!” Dakota Quinn from Belle Plaine, Kansas, admitted, “I feel extra-sexy when posing nude. Now I’m trying to make a name for myself in the adult industry. Although I’m from a farming state, I’ve never milked a dairy cow, but I sure love milking cocks and being showered with cum.” Happy birthday, ladies!

KAT KERBY

ZOE CLARK

DAKOTA QUINN
"My fantasies are sucking a dick in a glory hole and getting gangbanged. My dream date has great food, greater sex and a creampie for the grand finale!"

KEIRA LOVE

"I love posing nude for the feeling of being totally free and letting go of everything," professes Keira Love, 29, a XXX performer and part-time college student from Prague, Czechia. The 5-foot-3 redhead is one busy Beaver. "Besides studying and grabbing lots of porn bookings," she goes on, "I enjoy reading, writing poems, hiking and watching Netflix." On video sets, Keira is a consummate pro. "I get off on giving sloppy blowjobs, doggy-style anal, DPs and making a man or woman come in every way possible." In her private life, however, she's a true-blue romantic. "I pride myself on being a genuine person," Keira asserts, "so I put a special emphasis on a strong foundation of trust and connection with my partners. Most of them have told me that our intimate encounters, even if it's just a one-night stand with a new acquaintance, were refreshing, rewarding and unforgettable." *—Photos by Omnia Productions*

"My fantasy is spending a romantic weekend with twins—guys or girls—in a wooden cabin in the Králický Sněžnik Mountains."

ARE YOU AN AMATEUR EXHIBITIONIST 18 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER? For information on how to be a *Beaver Hunt* model—and be paid $200—send an email to BeaverHunt@LFP.com.

COMING SOON

THE DECEMBER ISSUE GOES ON SALE NOVEMBER 27, 2025. VISIT OUR WEBSITE AT HUSTLERMAGAZINE.COM.

ELLA REESE